

## **Exhibit 7**

*Democratic National Committee v. Donald J. Trump for President, Inc., et al.*  
(No. 1:18-cv-3501-JGK-SDA)

[Return to search \(/podesta-emails/\)](#)

[View email](#)[View source](#)[Attachments](#)

## HRC Paid Speeches

---

From:tcarrk@hillaryclinton.com To:

jpalmieri@hillaryclinton.com, john.podesta@gmail.com,  
slatham@hillaryclinton.com, kschake@hillaryclinton.com,  
creynolds@hillaryclinton.com, bfallon@hillaryclinton.com

Date:

2016-01-25 00:28 Subject: HRC Paid Speeches

---

Team, Attached are the flags from HRC's  
paid speeches we have from HWA. I put some highlights  
below. There is a lot of  
policy positions that we should give an extra scrub with  
Policy. In terms of  
what was opened to the press and what was not, the  
Washington Examiner got a  
hold of one of the private speech contracts (her speeches  
to universities were  
typically open press), so this is worth a read  
<http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/clintons-speeches-are-cozy-for-wall-streeters-but-closed-to-journalists/article/2553294/section/author/dan-friedman>  
\*CLINTON ADMITS SHE IS OUT OF TOUCH\* \*Hillary Clinton:

"I'm Kind Of Far  
Removed" From The Struggles Of The Middle Class "Because  
The Life I've Lived And  
The Economic, You Know, Fortunes That My Husband And I Now  
Enjoy." \* "And I am  
not taking a position on any policy, but I do think there  
is a growing sense of  
anxiety and even anger in the country over the feeling  
that the game is rigged.  
And I never had that feeling when I was growing up. Never.  
I mean, were there  
really rich people, of course there were. My father loved  
to complain about big  
business and big government, but we had a solid middle  
class upbringing. We had  
good public schools. We had accessible health care. We had  
our little, you  
know, one-family house that, you know, he saved up his  
money, didn't believe in  
mortgages. So I lived that. And now, obviously, I'm kind  
of far removed  
because the life I've lived and the economic, you know,  
fortunes that my husband  
and I now enjoy, but I haven't forgotten it." [Hillary  
Clinton Remarks at  
Goldman-Black Rock, 2/4/14] \*CLINTON SAYS YOU NEED TO HAVE  
A PRIVATE AND PUBLIC  
POSITION ON POLICY\* \*Clinton: "But If Everybody's  
Watching, You Know, All Of The  
Back Room Discussions And The Deals, You Know, Then People

Get A Little Nervous,  
To Say The Least. So, You Need Both A Public And A Private  
Position."\* CLINTON:  
You just have to sort of figure out how to -- getting back  
to that word,  
"balance" -- how to balance the public and the private  
efforts that are  
necessary to be successful, politically, and that's not  
just a comment about  
today. That, I think, has probably been true for all of  
our history, and if you  
saw the Spielberg movie, Lincoln, and how he was  
maneuvering and working to get  
the 13th Amendment passed, and he called one of my  
favorite predecessors,  
Secretary Seward, who had been the governor and senator  
from New York, ran  
against Lincoln for president, and he told Seward, I need  
your help to get this  
done. And Seward called some of his lobbyist friends who  
knew how to make a  
deal, and they just kept going at it. I mean, politics is  
like sausage being  
made. It is unsavory, and it always has been that way, but  
we usually end up  
where we need to be. But if everybody's watching, you  
know, all of the back room  
discussions and the deals, you know, then people get a  
little nervous, to say  
the least. So, you need both a public and a private

position. And finally, I think -- I believe in evidence-based decision making. I want to know what the facts are. I mean, it's like when you guys go into some kind of a deal, you know, are you going to do that development or not, are you going to do that renovation or not, you know, you look at the numbers. You try to figure out what's going to work and what's not going to work.

[Clinton Speech For National Multi-Housing Council, 4/24/13] \*CLINTON TALKS ABOUT HOLDING WALL STREET ACCOUNTABLE ONLY FOR POLITICAL REASONS\* \*Clinton Said That The Blame Placed On The United States Banking System For The Crisis "Could Have Been Avoided In Terms Of Both Misunderstanding And Really Politicizing What Happened."\* "That was one of the reasons that I started traveling in February of '09, so people could, you know, literally yell at me for the United States and our banking system causing this everywhere. Now, that's an oversimplification we know, but it was the conventional wisdom. And I think that there's a lot that could have been avoided in terms of both misunderstanding and really politicizing what happened with greater transparency, with greater openness

on all sides, you know, what happened, how did it happen, how do we prevent it from happening? You guys help us figure it out and let's make sure that we do it right this time. And I think that everybody was desperately trying to fend off the worst effects institutionally, governmentally, and there just wasn't that opportunity to try to sort this out, and that came later." [Goldman Sachs AIMS Alternative Investments Symposium, 10/24/13] \*Clinton: "Even If It May Not Be 100 Percent True, If The Perception Is That Somehow The Game Is Rigged, That Should Be A Problem For All Of Us." \*"Now, it's important to recognize the vital role that the financial markets play in our economy and that so many of you are contributing to. To function effectively those markets and the men and women who shape them have to command trust and confidence, because we all rely on the market's transparency and integrity. So even if it may not be 100 percent true, if the perception is that somehow the game is rigged, that should be a problem for all of us, and we have to be willing to make that absolutely clear. And if there are issues, if there's wrongdoing, people have to be

held accountable and we have to try to deter future bad behavior, because the public trust is at the core of both a free market economy and a democracy." [Clinton Remarks to Deutsche Bank, 10/7/14] \*CLINTON SUGGESTS WALL STREET INSIDERS ARE WHAT IS NEEDED TO FIX WALL STREET\* \*Clinton Said Financial Reform "Really Has To Come From The Industry Itself." \*"Remember what Teddy Roosevelt did. Yes, he took on what he saw as the excesses in the economy, but he also stood against the excesses in politics. He didn't want to unleash a lot of nationalist, populist reaction. He wanted to try to figure out how to get back into that balance that has served America so well over our entire nationhood. Today, there's more that can and should be done that really has to come from the industry itself, and how we can strengthen our economy, create more jobs at a time where that's increasingly challenging, to get back to Teddy Roosevelt's square deal. And I really believe that our country and all of you are up to that job." [Clinton Remarks to Deutsche Bank, 10/7/14] \*Speaking About The Importance Of Proper Regulation, Clinton Said "The People

That Know The Industry  
Better Than Anybody Are The People Who Work In The  
Industry."\* "I mean, it's  
still happening, as you know. People are looking back and  
trying to, you know,  
get compensation for bad mortgages and all the rest of it  
in some of the  
agreements that are being reached. There's nothing magic  
about regulations, too  
much is bad, too little is bad. How do you get to the  
golden key, how do we  
figure out what works? And the people that know the  
industry better than anybody  
are the people who work in the industry. And I think there  
has to be a  
recognition that, you know, there's so much at stake now,  
I mean, the business  
has changed so much and decisions are made so quickly, in  
nano seconds  
basically. We spend trillions of dollars to travel around  
the world, but it's  
in everybody's interest that we have a better framework,  
and not just for the  
United States but for the entire world, in which to  
operate and trade."  
[Goldman Sachs AIMS Alternative Investments Symposium,  
10/24/13] \*CLINTON ADMITS  
NEEDING WALL STREET FUNDING\* \*Clinton Said That Because  
Candidates Needed Money  
From Wall Street To Run For Office, People In New York



Needed To Ask Tough

Questions About The Economy Before Handing Over Campaign Contributions.

\*"Secondly, running for office in our country takes a lot of money, and

candidates have to go out and raise it. New York is probably the leading site

for contributions for fundraising for candidates on both sides of the aisle, and

it's also our economic center. And there are a lot of people here who should ask

some tough questions before handing over campaign contributions to people who

were really playing chicken with our whole economy." [Goldman Sachs AIMS

Alternative Investments Symposium, 10/24/13] \*Clinton: "It Would Be Very

Difficult To Run For President Without Raising A Huge Amount Of Money And

Without Having Other People Supporting You Because Your Opponent Will Have Their

Supporters."\* "So our system is, in many ways, more difficult, certainly far

more expensive and much longer than a parliamentary system, and I really admire

the people who subject themselves to it. Even when I, you know, think they

should not be elected president, I still think, well, you know, good for you I

guess, you're out there promoting democracy and those

crazy ideas of yours. So I think that it's something -- I would like -- you know, obviously as somebody who has been through it, I would like it not to last as long because I think it's very distracting from what we should be doing every day in our public business. I would like it not to be so expensive. I have no idea how you do that. I mean, in my campaign -- I lose track, but I think I raised \$250 million or some such enormous amount, and in the last campaign President Obama raised 1.1 billion, and that was before the Super PACs and all of this other money just rushing in, and it's so ridiculous that we have this kind of free for all with all of this financial interest at stake, but, you know, the Supreme Court said that's basically what we're in for. So we're kind of in the wild west, and, you know, it would be very difficult to run for president without raising a huge amount of money and without having other people supporting you because your opponent will have their supporters. So I think as hard as it was when I ran, I think it's even harder now." [Clinton Speech For General Electric's Global Leadership Meeting - Boca Raton, FL, 1/6/14] \*CLINTON

TOUTS HER RELATIONSHIP TO  
WALL STREET AS A SENATOR\* \*Clinton: As Senator, "I  
Represented And Worked With"  
So Many On Wall Street And "Did All I Could To Make Sure  
They Continued To  
Prosper" But Still Called For Closing Carried Interest  
Loophole. \*In remarks at  
Robbins, Gellar, Rudman & Dowd in San Diego, Hillary  
Clinton said, "When I  
was a Senator from New York, I represented and worked with  
so many talented  
principled people who made their living in finance. But  
even thought I  
represented them and did all I could to make sure they  
continued to prosper, I  
called for closing the carried interest loophole and  
addressing skyrocketing CEO  
pay. I also was calling in '06, '07 for doing something  
about the mortgage  
crisis, because I saw every day from Wall Street literally  
to main streets  
across New York how a well-functioning financial system is  
essential. So when I  
raised early warnings about early warnings about subprime  
mortgages and called  
for regulating derivatives and over complex financial  
products, I didn't get  
some big arguments, because people sort of said, no, that  
makes sense. But boy,  
have we had fights about it ever since." [Hillary

Clinton's Remarks at Robbins

Geller Rudman & Dowd in San Diego, 9/04/14] \*Clinton On

Wall Street: "I Had

Great Relations And Worked So Close Together After 9/11 To  
Rebuild Downtown, And

A Lot Of Respect For The Work You Do And The People Who Do  
It." \*"Now, without

going over how we got to where we are right now, what  
would be your advice to

the Wall Street community and the big banks as to the way  
forward with those two

important decisions? SECRETARY CLINTON: Well, I  
represented all of you for

eight years. I had great relations and worked so close  
together after 9/11 to

rebuild downtown, and a lot of respect for the work you do  
and the people who

do it, but I do -- I think that when we talk about the  
regulators and the

politicians, the economic consequences of bad decisions  
back in '08, you know,

were devastating, and they had repercussions throughout  
the world." [Goldman

Sachs AIMS Alternative Investments Symposium, 10/24/13]

\*CLINTON TALKS ABOUT THE

CHALLENGES RUNNING FOR OFFICE\* \*Hillary Clinton Said There  
Was "A Bias Against

People Who Have Led Successful And/Or Complicated Lives,"  
Citing The Need To

Divest Of Assets, Positions, And Stocks.\* "SECRETARY

CLINTON: Yeah. Well,  
you know what Bob Rubin said about that. He said, you  
know, when he came to  
Washington, he had a fortune. And when he left Washington,  
he had a small --

MR. BLANKFEIN: That's how you have a small fortune, is you  
go to  
Washington. SECRETARY CLINTON: You go to Washington.  
Right. But,  
you know, part of the problem with the political  
situation, too, is that there  
is such a bias against people who have led successful  
and/or complicated lives.  
You know, the divestment of assets, the stripping of all  
kinds of positions, the  
sale of stocks. It just becomes very onerous and  
unnecessary." [Goldman Sachs  
Builders And Innovators Summit, 10/29/13] \*CLINTON  
SUGGESTS SHE IS A MODERATE\*

\*Clinton Said That Both The Democratic And Republican  
Parties Should Be  
"Moderate." \*URSULA BURNS: Interesting. Democrats?  
SECRETARY CLINTON: Oh,  
long, definitely. URSULA BURNS: Republicans? SECRETARY  
CLINTON: Unfortunately,  
at the time, short. URSULA BURNS: Okay. We'll go back to  
questions. SECRETARY  
CLINTON: We need two parties. URSULA BURNS: Yeah, we do  
need two parties.  
SECRETARY CLINTON: Two sensible, moderate, pragmatic

parties." [Hillary Clinton Remarks, Remarks at Xerox, 3/18/14] \*Clinton: "Simpson-Bowles... Put Forth The Right Framework. Namely, We Have To Restrain Spending, We Have To Have Adequate Revenues, And We Have To Incentivize Growth. It's A Three-Part Formula... And They Reached An Agreement. But What Is Very Hard To Do Is To Then Take That Agreement If You Don't Believe That You're Going To Be Able To Move The Other Side."\*

SECRETARY CLINTON: Well, this may be borne more out of hope than experience in the last few years. But Simpson-Bowles -- and I know you heard from Erskine earlier today -- put forth the right framework. Namely, we have to restrain spending, we have to have adequate revenues, and we have to incentivize growth. It's a three-part formula. The specifics can be negotiated depending upon whether we're acting in good faith or not. And what Senator Simpson and Erskine did was to bring Republicans and Democrats alike to the table, and you had the full range of ideological views from I think Tom Coburn to Dick Durbin. And they reached an agreement. But what is very hard to do is to then take that agreement if you don't believe that you're going to be

able to move the other side. And where we are now is in this gridlocked dysfunction. So you've got Democrats saying that, you know, you have to have more revenues; that's the sine qua non of any kind of agreement. You have Republicans saying no, no, no on revenues; you have to cut much more deeply into spending. Well, looks what's happened. We are slowly returning to growth. It's not as much or as fast as many of us would like to see, but, you know, we're certainly better off than our European friends, and we're beginning to, I believe, kind of come out of the long aftermath of the '08 crisis. [Clinton Speech For Morgan Stanley, 4/18/13]

\*Clinton: "The Simpson-Bowles Framework And The Big Elements Of It Were Right... You Have To Restrain Spending, You Have To Have Adequate Revenues, And You Have To Have Growth."\* CLINTON: So, you know, the Simpson-Bowles framework and the big elements of it were right. The specifics can be negotiated and argued over. But you got to do all three. You have to restrain spending, you have to have adequate revenues, and you have to have growth. And I think we are smart enough to figure out how to do that. [Clinton Speech For Morgan

Stanley, 4/18/13]

\*CLINTON IS AWARE OF SECURITY CONCERNS AROUND

BLACKBERRIES\* \*Clinton: "At The

State Department We Were Attacked Every Hour, More Than

Once An Hour By Incoming

Efforts To Penetrate Everything We Had. And That Was True

Across The U.S.

Government."\* CLINTON: But, at the State Department we

were attacked every hour,

more than once an hour by incoming efforts to penetrate

everything we had. And

that was true across the U.S. government. And we knew it

was going on when I

would go to China, or I would go to Russia, we would leave

all of our electronic

equipment on the plane, with the batteries out, because

this is a new frontier.

And they're trying to find out not just about what we do

in our government.

They're trying to find out about what a lot of companies

do and they were going

after the personal emails of people who worked in the

State Department. So it's

not like the only government in the world that is doing

anything is the United

States. But, the United States compared to a number of our

competitors is the

only government in the world with any kind of safeguards,

any kind of checks and

balances. They may in many respects need to be



strengthened and people need to be reassured, and they need to have their protections embodied in law. But, I think turning over a lot of that material intentionally or unintentionally, because of the way it can be drained, gave all kinds of information not only to big countries, but to networks and terrorist groups, and the like. So I have a hard time thinking that somebody who is a champion of privacy and liberty has taken refuge in Russia under Putin's authority. And then he calls into a Putin talk show and says, President Putin, do you spy on people? And President Putin says, well, from one intelligence professional to another, of course not. Oh, thank you so much. I mean, really, I don't know. I have a hard time following it. [Clinton Speech At UConn, 4/23/14] \*Hillary Clinton: "When I Got To The State Department, It Was Still Against The Rules To Let Most -- Or Let All Foreign Service Officers Have Access To A Blackberry." \*"I mean, let's face it, our government is woefully, woefully behind in all of its policies that affect the use of technology. When I got to the State Department, it was still against the rules to let most -- or let all Foreign Service

Officers have access to a Blackberry. You couldn't have desktop computers when Colin Powell was there.

Everything that you are taking advantage of, inventing and using, is still a generation or two behind when it comes to our government." [Hillary Clinton Remarks at Nexenta, 8/28/14] \*Hillary Clinton: "We Couldn't Take Our Computers, We Couldn't Take Our Personal Devices" Off The Plane In China And Russia. \*"

"I mean, probably the most frustrating part of this whole debate are countries acting like we're the only people in the world trying to figure out what's going on. I mean, every time I went to countries like China or Russia, I mean, we couldn't take our computers, we couldn't take our personal devices, we couldn't take anything off the plane because they're so good, they would penetrate them in a minute, less, a nanosecond. So we would take the batteries out, we'd leave them on the plane." [Hillary Clinton Remarks at Nexenta, 8/28/14]

\*Clinton Said When She Got To State, Employees "Were Not Mostly Permitted To Have Handheld Devices."\* "You know, when Colin Powell showed up as Secretary of State in 2001, most State Department employees still

didn't even have computers on their desks. When I got there they were not mostly permitted to have handheld devices. I mean, so you're thinking how do we operate in this new environment dominated by technology, globalizing forces? We have to change, and I can't expect people to change if I don't try to model it and lead it."

[Clinton Speech For General Electric's Global Leadership Meeting - Boca Raton, FL, 1/6/14] \*Hillary Clinton Said You Know You Can't Bring Your Phone And Computer When Traveling To China And Russia And She Had To Take Her Batteries Out And Put them In A Special Box. \*"And anybody who has ever traveled in other countries, some of which shall remain nameless, except for Russia and China, you know that you can't bring your phones and your computers. And if you do, good luck. I mean, we would not only take the batteries out, we would leave the batteries and the devices on the plane in special boxes. Now, we didn't do that because we thought it would be fun to tell somebody about. We did it because we knew that we were all targets and that we would be totally vulnerable. So it's not only what others do to us and what we do to them and

how many people are involved in it. It's what's the purpose of it, what is being collected, and how can it be used. And there are clearly people in this room who know a lot about this, and some of you could be very useful contributors to that conversation because you're sophisticated enough to know that it's not just, do it, don't do it. We have to have a way of doing it, and then we have to have a way of analyzing it, and then we have to have a way of sharing it." [Goldman Sachs Builders And Innovators Summit, 10/29/13] \*Hillary Clinton Lamented How Far Behind The State Department Was In Technology, Saying "People Were Not Even Allowed To Use Mobile Devices Because Of Security Issues."

\*"Personally, having, you know, lived and worked in the White House, having been a senator, having been Secretary of State, there has traditionally been a great pool of very talented, hard-working people. And just as I was saying about the credit market, our personnel policies haven't kept up with the changes necessary in government. We have a lot of difficulties in getting-when I got to the State Department, we were so far behind in technology, it was

embarrassing. And, you know, people were not even allowed to use mobile devices because of security issues and cost issues, and we really had to try to push into the last part of the 20th Century in order to get people functioning in 2009 and '10." [Goldman Sachs Builders And Innovators Summit, 10/29/13] \*CLINTON REMARKS ARE PRO KEYSTONE AND PRO TRADE\* \*Clinton: "So I Think That Keystone Is A Contentious Issue, And Of Course It Is Important On Both Sides Of The Border For Different And Sometimes Opposing Reasons..." \*"So I think that Keystone is a contentious issue, and of course it is important on both sides of the border for different and sometimes opposing reasons, but that is not our relationship. And I think our relationship will get deeper and stronger and put us in a position to really be global leaders in energy and climate change if we worked more closely together. And that's what I would like to see us do." [Remarks at tinePublic, 6/18/14] \*Hillary Clinton Said Her Dream Is A Hemispheric Common Market, With Open Trade And Open Markets. \*"My dream is a hemispheric common market, with open trade and open borders, some time in the future with

energy that is as green and sustainable as we can get it, powering growth and opportunity for every person in the hemisphere." [05162013 Remarks to Banco Itau.doc, p. 28]

\*Hillary Clinton Said We Have To Have A Concerted Plan To Increase Trade; We Have To Resist Protectionism And Other Kinds Of Barriers To Trade. \*"Secondly, I think we have to have a concerted plan to increase trade already under the current circumstances, you know, that Inter-American Development Bank figure is pretty surprising. There is so much more we can do, there is a lot of low hanging fruit but businesses on both sides have to make it a priority and it's not for governments to do but governments can either make it easy or make it hard and we have to resist, protectionism, other kinds of barriers to market access and to trade and I would like to see this get much more attention and be not just a policy for a year under president X or president Y but a consistent one." [05162013 Remarks to Banco Itau.doc, p. 32] \*CLINTON IS MORE FAVORABLE TO CANADIAN HEALTH CARE AND SINGLE PAYER\* \*Clinton Said Single-Payer Health Care Systems "Can Get Costs Down," And "Is As Good Or Better On

Primary Care," But

"They Do Impose Things Like Waiting Times." \*"If you look at countries that are comparable, like Switzerland or Germany, for example, they have mixed systems. They don't have just a single-payer system, but they have very clear controls over budgeting and accountability. If you look at the single-payer systems, like Scandinavia, Canada, and elsewhere, they can get costs down because, you know, although their care, according to statistics, overall is as good or better on primary care, in particular, they do impose things like waiting times, you know. It takes longer to get like a hip replacement than it might take here."

[Hillary Clinton remarks to ECGR Grand Rapids, 6/17/13]

\*Clinton Cited President Johnson's Success In Establishing Medicare And Medicaid And Said She Wanted To See The U.S. Have Universal Health Care Like In Canada.\*

"You know, on healthcare we are the prisoner of our past. The way we got to develop any kind of medical insurance program was during World War II when companies facing shortages of workers began to offer healthcare benefits as an inducement for employment. So from the early 1940s healthcare was seen as

a privilege connected to employment. And after the war when soldiers came back and went back into the market there was a lot of competition, because the economy was so heated up. So that model continued. And then of course our large labor unions bargained for healthcare with the employers that their members worked for. So from the early 1940s until the early 1960s we did not have any Medicare, or our program for the poor called Medicaid until President Johnson was able to get both passed in 1965. So the employer model continued as the primary means by which working people got health insurance. People over 65 were eligible for Medicare. Medicaid, which was a partnership, a funding partnership between the federal government and state governments, provided some, but by no means all poor people with access to healthcare. So what we've been struggling with certainly Harry Truman, then Johnson was successful on Medicare and Medicaid, but didn't touch the employer based system, then actually Richard Nixon made a proposal that didn't go anywhere, but was quite far reaching. Then with my husband's administration we worked very hard to come up



with a system, but we were very much constricted by the political realities that if you had your insurance from your employer you were reluctant to try anything else. And so we were trying to build a universal system around the employer-based system. And indeed now with President Obama's legislative success in getting the Affordable Care Act passed that is what we've done. We still have primarily an employer-based system, but we now have people able to get subsidized insurance. So we have health insurance companies playing a major role in the provision of healthcare, both to the employed whose employers provide health insurance, and to those who are working but on their own are not able to afford it and their employers either don't provide it, or don't provide it at an affordable price. We are still struggling. We've made a lot of progress. Ten million Americans now have insurance who didn't have it before the Affordable Care Act, and that is a great step forward. (Applause.) And what we're going to have to continue to do is monitor what the costs are and watch closely to see whether employers drop more people from insurance so that they go into what

we call the health exchange system. So we're really just at the beginning. But we do have Medicare for people over 65. And you couldn't, I don't think, take it away if you tried, because people are very satisfied with it, but we also have a lot of political and financial resistance to expanding that system to more people. So we're in a learning period as we move forward with the implementation of the Affordable Care Act. And I'm hoping that whatever the shortfalls or the glitches have been, which in a big piece of legislation you're going to have, those will be remedied and we can really take a hard look at what's succeeding, fix what isn't, and keep moving forward to get to affordable universal healthcare coverage like you have here in Canada. [Clinton Speech For

tinePublic - Saskatoon, CA, 1/21/15]

Download entire raw dataset for all published Podesta Emails here

(<https://file.wikileaks.org/file/podesta-emails/>)

Top



WL Research Community - user contributed research based on documents published by WikiLeaks.

(<https://our.wikileaks.org>)

Tor is an encrypted anonymising network that makes it harder to intercept internet communications, or see where communications are coming from or going to.

(<https://www.torproject.org/>)

Tails is a live operating system, that you can start on almost any computer from a DVD, USB stick, or SD card. It aims at preserving your privacy and anonymity.

(<https://tails.boum.org/>)

The Courage Foundation is an international organisation that supports those who risk life or liberty to make significant contributions to the historical record.

(<https://www.couragefound.org/>)

Bitcoin uses peer-to-peer technology to operate with no central authority or banks; managing transactions and the issuing of bitcoins is carried out collectively by the network.

(<https://www.bitcoin.org/>)



(<https://www.facebook.com/wikileaks>)



(<https://twitter.com/wikileaks>)